

JC10 Rec'd PCT/PTO 02 AUG 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Art Unit:
BONDE, Torben A.)	Examiner:
Serial No.: 10/522,885)	Washington, D.C.
Filed: February 1, 2005)	August 2, 2005
For: METHOD AND DEVICE FOR)	Docket No.: BONDE=3
STRIPPING AMMONIA FROM)	Confirmation No.:
LIQUIDS)	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

S i r :

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed:

☐ A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application. See 37 CFR 1.97(b)(1) and (3).

☒ B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits. See 37 CFR 1.97(b).

☐ C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "ii" below). See 37 CFR 1.97(c)(2).

☐ i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and

belief, each item of information listed herein was either (a) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

- [] ii. Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, authorizing payment for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), presently believed to be \$180, is attached.

[] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee. Applicant petitions under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) for consideration of this IDS. A Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, authorizing payment for the fee set forth in 1.17(p)(1), presently believed to be \$180 is attached. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (i) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (ii) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.

[] E. As a submission in accordance with the transitional procedure for limited examination after final rejection pursuant to 37 CFR §1.129(a). Pursuant to MPEP §706.07(g), page 700-66, col. 2 (August 2001), this IDS is treated as if filed with a period set forth in 37 CFR §1.97(b) and considered without the petition and petition fee required by 1.97(d).

[] F. As a submission with or after a request for continued examination under CFR §1.114, and before the mailing of a first office action on the RCE. See 37 CFR §1.97(b)(4).

2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS includes a

list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document is attached, except as explained below.

[] While an IDS filed under §1.97 must contain a "list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office", see §1.98(a) (1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in §1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged"). Counsel has used a list provided to him by Applicants, and not transferred the information to a PTO-1449, to avoid the risk of any inadvertent error in transferring the information.

[X] A. Documents AA-BB are U.S. Patents or U.S. Patent Publications, and hence copies of these documents have not been provided. See 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2)(ii).

[] B. Documents _____ are deemed substantially cumulative to documents _____, and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents is enclosed.

[] C. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s), which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:

[insert serial number/filing date]

Applicants identify these documents by attaching hereto copies of the form PTO-892s and PTO-1449s from the files of the prior applications or a fresh PTO-1449 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application. If copies of any of these documents cannot be found in the files of the prior applications, the Examiner is requested to so notify counsel before taking action in this case, so replacement copies can be submitted. While an IDS filed under §1.97 must contain a "list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for

consideration by the Office", see §1.98(a) (1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in §1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged") and no prohibition on submitting a copy of a form PTO-1449 or form PTO-892 from a prior case. Indeed, the re-use of such forms is desirable as it avoids error in transferring the information, and evidences that the reference was considered in a prior application. A previously accepted PTO-1449, or an examiner-prepared PTO-892, necessarily complies with §1.98(b).

[X] 3. Documents BC-BN, BQ-BS, BU, BX, CA-CH are not in the English language. In accordance with 1.98(a)(3), Applicants state:

[] documents _____ already contain an English language abstract, summary or claim set.

[X] a publicly available abstract is attached to each of documents BC-BN, BQ-BS, BU, BX, CA, CB, CE, CG, CH, and the source of each abstract is indicated thereon. In the case of reference BM, we enclose both the publicly available esp@cenet abstract, and an abstract prepared by applicant which applicant considers to be more accurate.

[] documents ____ are patents or published patent applications for which counterpart English language patents or patent applications exist, and are enclosed, as follows:

<u>Foreign Lang. Doc.#</u>	<u>English Lang. Doc.#</u>
[insert]	[insert]

[X] applicants have prepared an English translation of at least the pertinent portions of documents CC, CD, CF, and copies are attached.

[] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents _____ is found in the attached search report from the _____ Patent Office (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).

[] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents _____ appears in the present specification.

[] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents _____ is set forth as follows:

[Insert concise explanation of relevance]

4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).

5. If the month of publication of a nonpatent reference is not stated, it is because it is not apparent from review of the reference. If requested to do so by the Examiner, Applicants will attempt to locate and write to the publisher.

If the publication date of a cited document is set forth only as a publication year, and that year is prior to the year of filing or, if priority is claimed, year of priority of this application, then the particular month of publication is not in issue. Likewise if that publication year is after the year of filing of this application, the month of publication is not in issue.

If the date of publication of a nonpatent reference is stated, then, except as explained below, it is the nominal date stated in the reference, or in a larger document (journal or book) from which the reference was extracted. Applicants reserve the right to challenge this date by contacting the publisher to determine the actual shipment date, or by contacting recipients to determine the receipt dates.

6. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

[insert other information]

7. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of

USSN - 10/522,885

publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

8. The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Iver P. Cooper
Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms
G:\ipc\g-i\hoib\BONDE3\PTO IDS.WPD

FORM PTO-1449
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY DOCKET NO: BONDE=3

SERIAL NO:
10/522,885

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
LIST OF DOCUMENTS CITED BY
APPLICANT

APPLICANT: BONDE, Torben, A.

FILING DATE: February 1, 2005

GROUP:


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS (include at least patentee, patent number and issue date)

EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	PATENTEE	FILING DATE IF APPROP.
	AA	4,041,182	09AUG1977	ERICKSON, et al.	
	AB	4,100,023	11JUL1978	MCDONALD	
	AC	4,246,417	20JAN1981	TSAO UTAH	
	AD	4,323,430	06APR1982	GLASSMAN, et al	
	AE	4,329,428	11MAY1982	GHOSH, et al.	
	AF	4,579,654	01APR1986	BREMMER	
	AG	4,668,250	26MAY1987	DRESE	
	AH	4,750,454	14JUN1998	SANTINA, et al.	
	AI	4,801,356	31JAN1989	GRASSO, Albert P.	
	AJ	5,071,559	10DEC1991	BLEEKER	
	AK	5,296,147	22MAR1994	KOSTER, et al.	
	AL	5,389,258	14FEB1995	SMIS, et al.	
	AM	5,494,587	27FEB1996	MORLEC, et al.	
	AN	5,525,229	11JUN1996	SHIH	
	AO	5,593,590	14JAN1997	STEYSKAL	
	AP	5,616,163	01APR1997	HALFTER	
	AQ	5,656,059	12AUG1997	MONSTER, et al.	
	AR	5,670,047	23SEP1997	BURKE	
	AS	5,681,481	28OCT1997	CHRISTY, et al.	
	AT	5,746,919	05MAY1998	DAGUE, et al.	
	AU	5,773,526	30JUN1998	VAN DIJK et al.	
	AV	5,782,950	21JUL1998	KANITZ, et al.	
	AW	5,783,073	21JUL1998	CHRISTY, et al.	
	AX	5,851,404	22DEC1998	CHRISTY, et al.	
	AY	5,853,450	29DEC1998	BURNHAM, et al.	
	AZ	5,863,434	26JAN1999	MASSE, et al.	
	BA	6,071,418	06JUN2000	TAI	
	BB	6,171,499	09JAN2001	BOUCHALAT	

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



FORM PTO-1449
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY DOCKET NO: BONDE=3

SERIAL NO:
10/522,885

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
LIST OF DOCUMENTS CITED BY
APPLICANT

APPLICANT: BONDE, Torben A.

Filing Date: February 1, 2005


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS (include at least document number, publication date and country)

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION YES/NO
	BC	4444032	02MAY1996	GERMANY	YES
	BD	4201166	22JUL1993	GERMANY	YES
	BE	3737747	18MAY1989	GERMANY	YES
	BF	19828889	23DEC1999	GERMANY	YES
	BG	19809299	09SEP1999	GERMANY	YES
	BH	19644613	30APR1998	GERMANY	YES
	BI	19628521	15JAN1998	GERMANY	ABSTRACT ONLY
	BJ	19625288	09JAN1997	GERMANY	ABSTRACT ONLY
	BK	19623163	05DEC1996	GERMANY	ABSTRACT ONLY
	BL	19615063	11SEP1997	GERMANY	YES
	BM	1021958	26JUL2000	EUROPE	YES
	BN	0866042	23SEP1998	EUROPE	YES
	BO	0351922	24JAN1990	EUROPE	YES
	BP	0286115	12OCT1988	EUROPE	YES
	BQ	0218896	22APR1987	EUROPE	YES
	BR	2100123	01JUN1997	SPAIN	YES
	BS	2576741	08AUG1986	FRANCE	YES
	BT	2013170	08AUG1979	UK	YES
	BU	57012896	22JAN1982	JAPAN	ABSTRACT ONLY
	BV	9942423	26AUG1999	WIPO	YES
	BW	9102582	07MAR1991	WIPO	YES
	BX	8900548	26JAN1989	WIPO	ABSTRACT ONLY
	BY	8400038	05JAN1984	WIPO	YES
	BZ	02053878	11JUL2002	WIPO	YES
	CA	2001113265	24APR2001	JAPAN	YES
	CB	59039395	03MAR1984	JAPAN	YES
	CC	2711980	12MAY1995	FRANCE	YES
	CD	17615	20JUN1997	SWEDEN	YES
	CE	53029278	18MAR1978	JAPAN	YES

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.



FORM PTO-1449
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY DOCKET NO: BONDE=3

SERIAL NO:
10/522,885

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
LIST OF DOCUMENTS CITED BY
APPLICANT

APPLICANT: BONDE, Torben A.

FILING DATE: February 1, 2005

GROUP:

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS (include at least document number, publication date and country)

		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION YES/NO
	CF	3603739	07AUG1986	GERMANY	YES
	CG	4324410	04AUG1994	GERMANY	YES
	CH	4239637	28APR1994	GERMANY	ABSTRACT ONLY

OTHER DOCUMENTS (include author, title, name of publication, volume, pages and date of publication)

	CI	CHANG, et al., "Lime Pretreatment of Switchgrass", <u>APPLIED BIOCHEMISTRY AND BIOTECHNOLOGY</u> , vol. 63-65, pp. 3-19, 1997.
	CJ	<u>www.uni-hohenheim.de/i3ve/00217110/01492041.htm</u> , "Cofermentation of liquid manure and beets as a regenerative energy", June 20, 2003.
	CK	<u>www.uni-hohenheim.de/i2ve/00217110/01488041.htm</u> , "Nutrient transport and separation behaviour during liquid-solid-separation of liquid manure", April 27, 2005.
	CL	BASERGA, et al., <u>www.biogas.ch/f+e/qrasbasi.htm</u> , "Digestion of 'energy grass'", June 20, 2003.
	CM	EDELMANN, et al., <u>www.biogas.ch/f+e/2stede.htm</u> , "Two step anaerobic digestion of biogenic solid wastes", June 20, 2003.
	CN	<u>www.biogas.ch/f+e/hygiene.htm</u> , "Hygienic considerations concerning co-digestion of MSW in agricultural biogas plants", June 20, 2003.
	CO	ENGELI, et al., <u>www.biogas.ch/f+e/memen.htm</u> , "Membrane treatment of effluents from anaerobic digestion of solid waste", January 24, 2001.
	CP	<u>riera.ceeeta.pt/images/ukbio_mass.htm</u> , "Anaerobic Digestion & Biogas", January 24, 2001.
	CQ	LIAO, et al., "Removal of nitrogen from swine manure wastewaters by ammonia stripping", <u>www.nal.usda.gov/wqic/Bibliographies/swine2.htm</u> .
	CR	<u>www.iqb.fhq.de/UWbio/en/Manure.en.html</u> , "Environmentally friendly reprocessing and utilization of liquid manure".

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.